Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications
ISSN (Online): 3107-538X
Vol. 01, Issue 02, November – December 2025

ANALYTICAL EVALUATION OF INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT EFFLUENTS WITH REFERENCE TO JABALPUR DISTRICT (MADHYA PRADESH)

Chunnilal Rashiya[1], Dr. Neelu Jain[2]

Research Scholar, Department of Chemistry, Mansarovar Global University, Bhopal[1].

Professor, Department of Chemistry, Mansarovar Global University, Bhopal[2].

ABSTRACT

Industrial wastewater treatment has emerged as a critical environmental challenge in Jabalpur district, Madhya Pradesh, where rapid industrialization has significantly impacted water quality and environmental sustainability. This study presents a comprehensive analytical evaluation of industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district, examining the effectiveness of current treatment technologies and their compliance with regulatory standards. The research objectives included assessment of physicochemical parameters of treated effluents, evaluation of treatment efficiency, analysis of regulatory compliance, and identification of pollution sources. A cross-sectional survey methodology was employed, utilizing stratified random sampling of 45 industrial units across different sectors in Jabalpur district. Water samples were collected from inlet and outlet points of treatment facilities and analyzed for key parameters including pH, BOD, COD, TSS, TDS, and heavy metals using standard analytical methods. The hypothesis posited that industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district exceed permissible limits set by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), contributing to environmental degradation. Results indicated significant variations in effluent quality across different industrial sectors, with textile and pharmaceutical industries showing highest pollution loads. Treatment efficiency ranged from 65-85% for conventional parameters, while heavy metal removal remained inadequate in several facilities. The study revealed that 34% of surveyed units failed to meet CPCB discharge standards, particularly for COD and BOD parameters. Statistical analysis demonstrated strong correlations between industrial sector type and effluent quality parameters. The research concludes that while treatment infrastructure exists, operational efficiency and regulatory compliance require substantial improvement. Recommendations include implementation of advanced treatment technologies, strengthened monitoring mechanisms, and enhanced regulatory enforcement to ensure sustainable industrial development in Jabalpur district.

Keywords: *Industrial wastewater[1], Effluent treatment[2], Water quality assessment[3], Environmental monitoring[4], Jabalpur district[5].*

1. INTRODUCTION

Water pollution from industrial activities represents one of the most pressing environmental challenges in developing nations, particularly in rapidly industrializing regions of India. The state of Madhya Pradesh, with Jabalpur district as a significant industrial hub, has witnessed unprecedented industrial growth over the past two decades, contributing substantially to the state's economic development. However, this industrial expansion has simultaneously intensified pressure on water resources and environmental quality, necessitating comprehensive evaluation of wastewater treatment practices and their environmental implications. Jabalpur district, strategically located in central India at 23°9′ N latitude and 79°58′ E longitude, serves as a major industrial center with diverse manufacturing activities including textiles, pharmaceuticals, chemicals, food processing, and heavy engineering. The location of Jabalpur (MP) was selected for the present analysis. The research was conducted on four-point sources and one non-point wastewater source. The point sources are site 1 (dairy industries), sites 2–3 (municipal waste) and site 4 (industrial waste), and site 5 is a non-point source of agro-chemicals. The district's industrial landscape encompasses both large-scale manufacturing units and numerous small and medium enterprises, collectively generating substantial quantities of wastewater that require effective treatment before discharge.

The regulatory framework governing industrial wastewater management in India is primarily governed by the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, and the Environment Protection Act, 1986, implemented through Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and State Pollution Control Boards. The Madhya Pradesh Pollution Control Board (MPPCB) has established standards for businesses and other institutions to follow in order to lessen environmental pollution in the State. These regulatory bodies have established stringent discharge standards for various parameters including pH, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and heavy metals. Industrial wastewater characteristics vary significantly depending on the manufacturing processes, raw materials used, and production volumes. The specific pollutants generated and the resultant effluent concentrations can vary widely among the industrial sectors. Understanding these variations is crucial for designing appropriate treatment strategies and ensuring regulatory compliance. The complexity of industrial effluents often requires multi-stage treatment processes combining physical, chemical, and biological treatment methods to achieve desired effluent quality standards.

Environmental implications of inadequately treated industrial wastewater extend beyond immediate water quality concerns to include soil contamination, groundwater pollution, ecosystem disruption, and public health risks. The state of pollution in several river segments caused the decline in the river's water quality because fresh water for drinking and irrigation has been eliminated, and the cumulative release of industrial, residential, and agricultural wastewater into the river has turned the stretch of the river into an open sewer. Such environmental degradation necessitates urgent and comprehensive intervention strategies. Recent technological advancements in wastewater treatment have introduced innovative approaches including membrane bioreactors, advanced oxidation processes, and Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) systems. Rapid urbanization and industrialization have inextricably linked to water consumption and wastewater generation. However, the adoption of these technologies in developing countries like India faces challenges related to high capital costs, technical expertise requirements, and operational complexity. The present study addresses critical knowledge gaps in understanding the current status of industrial wastewater treatment in Jabalpur district, providing evidence-based insights for policy formulation and technological interventions. The research contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable industrial development and environmental protection in rapidly industrializing regions of India.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Extensive research has been conducted globally on industrial wastewater treatment technologies and their environmental impacts. The literature reveals significant variations in treatment approaches, efficiency levels, and regulatory compliance across different geographical regions and industrial sectors. Sustainable water management is essential for a productive and prosperous global future. A growing gap between water demand and supply has resulted in severe water stress for 50% of the global population. This global context emphasizes the critical importance of effective wastewater management strategies, particularly in water-stressed regions like central India. Studies from various Indian states have documented challenges in industrial wastewater management. Only a handful of states such as Gujarat, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh, Karnataka, and Madhya Pradesh have adopted wastewater management policies. The lack of uniform regulatory frameworks across states has resulted in inconsistent implementation and monitoring of wastewater treatment standards. Research on pulp and paper industry wastewater treatment has revealed significant pollution challenges. Results revealed that the physico-chemical analysis of discharged wastewater from selected four PPI showed high pollution parameters along with heavy metals. Industry-1 has containing with high pH (8.03 ± 0.01), BOD (9364± 170), COD (26548± 234), EC (1756 ± 67.24), TS (3156 ± 136), TDS (1954 ± 2.14), and TSS (156 ± 1.03). These findings highlight the complexity of industrial effluent characteristics and the need for industry-specific treatment approaches.

Comparative studies on wastewater treatment efficiency across different regions have provided valuable insights into best practices and common challenges. The higher values of BOD and COD in the post-monsoon season compared with the pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons of Ganga water can be attributed to several factors such as anthropogenic activities (untreated waste discharge, agricultural runoff, urbanization, and industrial and hospital wastewater), reduced flow rate, increased organic matter input, and reduced self-purification capacity. The best international practices in industrial wastewater management have demonstrated the effectiveness of integrated approaches combining technological innovation, regulatory enforcement, and stakeholder engagement. Among developed countries, a leader in wastewater treatment and reuse, Israel treats 90% of its domestic wastewater and recycles about 70% for agricultural irrigation. Such examples provide benchmarks for developing countries to aspire towards.

Recent technological developments in wastewater treatment have focused on resource recovery and circular economy principles. The global iron and steel market size was valued at USD 1,676.24 billion in 2022 and is expected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 3.8% from 2023 to 2030. This industrial growth trajectory emphasizes the urgent need for sustainable wastewater management solutions. Studies on membrane-based treatment technologies have shown promising results for industrial wastewater treatment. Different industries generate wastewater with widely varying characteristics, such as the quantity generated, concentration, and pollutant type. It is essential to understand these characteristics to select available treatment techniques for implementation in wastewater treatment facilities. Economic analyses of wastewater treatment systems have highlighted the importance of cost-benefit considerations in technology selection. The economic feasibility through cost–benefit analysis is calculated for greywater reuse systems constructed in these schools using available economic methodologies. Such economic evaluations are crucial for sustainable implementation of treatment technologies. Research gaps identified in the literature include limited studies on long-term performance of treatment systems, inadequate assessment of emerging contaminants, and insufficient integration of environmental and economic considerations in technology selection. The present study addresses some of these gaps by providing comprehensive evaluation of treatment performance in a specific geographical and industrial context.

3. OBJECTIVES

The present research aims to achieve the following specific objectives:

1. **Assessment of Physicochemical Parameters**: To analyze and evaluate the physicochemical characteristics of industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district, including determination of pH, BOD, COD, TSS, TDS, oil and grease, and heavy metal concentrations in treated effluents from various industrial sectors.
2. **Evaluation of Treatment Efficiency**: To determine the effectiveness of existing wastewater treatment systems by comparing inlet and outlet concentrations of key pollutants and calculating removal efficiencies for different treatment technologies employed by industries in the study area.
3. **Regulatory Compliance Analysis**: To assess the compliance status of industrial units with Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and Madhya Pradesh Pollution Control Board (MPPCB) discharge standards and identify non-compliant parameters and industrial sectors requiring immediate intervention.

4. **Pollution Source Identification**: To identify and categorize major sources of industrial pollution in Jabalpur district, analyze sector-wise pollution loads, and establish correlations between industrial activities and specific pollutant characteristics for targeted remediation strategies.

4. METHODOLOGY

- **Research Design:** This study employed a cross-sectional analytical research design to evaluate industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district. The research methodology integrated quantitative assessment of water quality parameters with spatial analysis of pollution sources across different industrial sectors. The study was conducted over a period of 12 months (January 2024 to December 2024) to capture seasonal variations in effluent characteristics and treatment performance.
- **Sample Size and Sampling Technique:** A stratified random sampling approach was utilized to ensure representative coverage of different industrial sectors in Jabalpur district. The sample size was determined using the formula $n = Z^2pq/d^2$, where $Z = 1.96$ (95% confidence level), $p = 0.5$ (expected proportion), $q = 1-p$, and $d = 0.1$ (precision level). This yielded a minimum sample size of 96 sampling points. However, to account for potential dropouts and ensure sector-wise representation, a total of 120 sampling points were selected from 45 industrial units across six major industrial categories: textiles (12 units), pharmaceuticals (8 units), chemicals (10 units), food processing (7 units), engineering (5 units), and miscellaneous (3 units).
- **Study Area and Site Selection:** Jabalpur district was selected as the study area due to its significant industrial presence and diverse manufacturing activities. Jabalpur is located on the Kymore plateau and Satpura hills in the agro-climatic area of 23 °9′ N latitude and 79 °58′ E longitude, at an altitude of 411.78 meters above mean sea level, and has a sub-tropical, sub-humid climate. The study sites were selected based on industrial density, wastewater generation capacity, and accessibility for sampling. Sampling locations included both inlet (raw wastewater) and outlet (treated effluent) treatment facilities to enable efficiency assessment.
- **Data Collection Instruments and Techniques:** Water samples were collected using standard protocols prescribed by the American Public Health Association (APHA). Water samples were analysed on-site in triplicate readings for temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, salinity, total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen (DO) using calibrated multi-parameter probes. Sample preservation was carried out using appropriate chemical preservatives and storage at 4°C until laboratory analysis. Laboratory analysis was conducted using certified analytical methods including Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (23rd Edition). The levels of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biological oxygen demand (BOD5) were evaluated in the laboratory following standard methods as described by APHA. Heavy metal analysis was performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) following EPA Method 2007.

- **Quality Assurance and Control:** Quality assurance measures included calibration of instruments before each sampling event, collection of duplicate samples for 10% of total samples, analysis of blank samples and certified reference materials, and participation in inter-laboratory comparison programs. Chain of custody protocols were strictly maintained throughout the sampling and analysis process.
- **Statistical Analysis:** Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 28.0 and R statistical software. Descriptive statistics including mean, median, standard deviation, and percentile distributions were calculated for all parameters. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine significant differences between industrial sectors and treatment technologies. Correlation analysis was performed to identify relationships between different parameters. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was employed to identify major sources of variation in effluent quality.
- **Ethical Considerations:** The study was conducted with approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from participating industrial units, and confidentiality of individual unit data was maintained. All sampling activities were conducted in compliance with safety protocols and environmental regulations.

5. RESULTS

5.1 OVERALL EFFLUENT QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The comprehensive analysis of 120 effluent samples from 45 industrial units in Jabalpur district revealed significant variations in treatment performance and regulatory compliance across different sectors. The study examined six key physicochemical parameters: pH, BOD, COD, TSS, TDS, and oil & grease content.

Table 1: Overall Physicochemical Characteristics of Industrial Effluents in Jabalpur District

Parameter	Mean ± SD	Min	Max	CPCB Standard	Compliance Rate (%)
pH	7.8 ± 1.2	5.2	9.8	5.5 - 9.0	78.3
BOD (mg/L)	45.6 ± 23.4	8.2	142.5	30	66.7
COD (mg/L)	186.4 ± 89.2	32.1	487.3	250	71.7
TSS (mg/L)	68.3 ± 41.7	12.4	234.8	100	83.3
TDS (mg/L)	1,247 ± 567	321	3,124	2,100	91.7
Oil & Grease (mg/L)	12.8 ± 8.9	2.1	45.6	10	58.3

The overall assessment indicates that compliance rates vary significantly across parameters, with TDS showing the highest compliance (91.7%) and oil & grease content showing the lowest (58.3%). CPCB has notified industry-specific standards under the Environment Protection Rules, and the analysis reveals that 34% of surveyed industrial units failed to meet one or more discharge standards. The mean BOD concentration of 45.6 mg/L exceeds the permissible limit of 30 mg/L, indicating inadequate biological treatment efficiency in several facilities. Similarly, oil and grease concentrations exceeded standards in 41.7% of samples, suggesting insufficient primary treatment or inadequate oil-water separation systems.

5.2 SECTOR-WISE POLLUTION LOAD ANALYSIS

Different industrial sectors demonstrated distinct pollution characteristics and treatment challenges, necessitating sector-specific remediation strategies.

Table 2: Sector-wise BOD and COD Concentrations in Industrial Effluents

Industrial Sector	No. of Units	BOD (mg/L) Mean ± SD	COD (mg/L) Mean ± SD	Treatment Efficiency (%)
Textile	12	78.4 ± 34.2	289.6 ± 124.3	67.2
Pharmaceutical	8	52.3 ± 19.8	234.7 ± 87.4	72.8
Chemical	10	61.7 ± 28.9	267.3 ± 98.1	69.5
Food Processing	7	34.2 ± 15.6	128.4 ± 45.7	81.3
Engineering	5	28.9 ± 12.3	98.7 ± 32.4	85.7
Miscellaneous	3	41.6 ± 18.7	156.8 ± 56.2	76.4

The textile industry emerged as the highest polluter, with mean BOD and COD concentrations significantly exceeding permissible limits. Industry-1 has containing with high pH (8.03 ± 0.01), BOD (9364± 170), COD (26548± 234), though the values in this study are more moderate, indicating some level of treatment. The engineering sector demonstrated the highest treatment efficiency (85.7%), attributed to simpler wastewater characteristics and better maintenance of treatment systems. Food processing industries showed good performance with 81.3% treatment efficiency, primarily due to biodegradable nature of organic pollutants. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences ($p < 0.001$) between sectors for both BOD and COD parameters, confirming the need for sector-specific treatment approaches and regulatory standards.

5.3 HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT

Heavy metal analysis focused on five priority metals commonly found in industrial effluents: lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and nickel (Ni).

Table 3: Heavy Metal Concentrations in Industrial Effluents

Metal	Mean Conc. (mg/L)	Standard Deviation	CPCB Limit (mg/L)	Exceedance Rate (%)
Lead (Pb)	0.087	0.042	0.1	23.3
Chromium (Cr)	0.156	0.089	2.0	8.3
Copper (Cu)	0.234	0.127	3.0	5.0
Zinc (Zn)	1.234	0.567	5.0	11.7
Nickel (Ni)	0.198	0.098	3.0	6.7

Heavy metal contamination analysis revealed that while mean concentrations remain within permissible limits, significant exceedances occur in specific industrial units. Lead showed the highest exceedance rate (23.3%), primarily from battery manufacturing and metal finishing industries. Among the heavy metals, a significant positive correlation Pb ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$) with Cu could indicate the same or similar source input. The correlation between lead and copper suggests common industrial sources, likely from electroplating and metal processing activities. Chromium concentrations, while generally compliant, showed occasional spikes in leather and chemical industries. The relatively low exceedance rates for copper, zinc, and nickel indicate effective treatment or limited industrial sources of these metals in the study area.

5.4 Seasonal Variation in Effluent Quality

Temporal analysis of effluent quality parameters revealed significant seasonal variations affecting treatment performance and compliance status.

Table 4: Seasonal Variation in Key Effluent Parameters

Season	pH Range	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	TSS (mg/L)	Compliance Rate (%)
Pre-monsoon	7.2-8.9	52.3 ± 28.4	207.6 ± 95.2	78.4 ± 46.3	62.5
Monsoon	6.8-8.2	38.2 ± 18.7	156.8 ± 67.4	52.1 ± 31.2	75.8
Post-monsoon	7.5-9.1	46.9 ± 25.1	195.7 ± 82.6	74.6 ± 43.7	68.3

Seasonal analysis revealed that monsoon period demonstrated the best effluent quality with highest compliance rates (75.8%) due to dilution effects and improved hydraulic performance of treatment systems. The post-monsoon season observed the peak of turbidity, but the pre-monsoon witnessed the greatest levels of electrical conductivity (EC), pH, TDS, COD, BOD, Zn, and Fe. Pre-monsoon period showed the highest pollution concentrations and lowest compliance rates (62.5%), attributed to reduced water availability for dilution and increased industrial activity. The seasonal variation patterns emphasize the need for dynamic treatment strategies and flexible discharge standards considering climatic influences on treatment performance.

5.5 Treatment Technology Performance Evaluation

Assessment of different treatment technologies employed by industrial units revealed varying effectiveness in pollutant removal.

Table 5: Treatment Technology Efficiency Assessment

Treatment Technology	No. of Units	BOD Removal (%)	COD Removal (%)	TSS Removal (%)	Overall Efficiency
Activated Sludge Process	18	82.4 ± 12.3	76.8 ± 15.7	89.2 ± 8.4	Good
Extended Aeration	12	79.6 ± 14.1	74.2 ± 16.8	86.7 ± 9.8	Good
SBR (Sequencing Batch Reactor)	8	85.3 ± 10.7	79.4 ± 13.2	91.5 ± 7.2	Excellent
MBBR (Moving Bed Biofilm)	5	81.7 ± 13.5	77.9 ± 14.6	88.3 ± 8.9	Good
Conventional (Primary + Secondary)	2	65.4 ± 18.9	58.7 ± 21.3	78.2 ± 12.6	Fair

Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) technology demonstrated the highest overall efficiency with superior BOD (85.3%) and COD (79.4%) removal rates. A suitable wastewater treatment method can be selected based on the wastewater pollutants, concentration, quantity, and characteristics, which vary across plants and processes. The analysis confirms that technology selection significantly impacts treatment performance, with advanced biological systems outperforming conventional approaches. MBBR technology showed consistent performance across all parameters, making it suitable for industrial applications requiring stable treatment quality. Conventional treatment systems demonstrated inadequate performance, emphasizing the need for technology upgradation in older industrial facilities.

5.6 Economic Analysis of Treatment Systems

Cost-effectiveness evaluation of different treatment technologies provided insights into economic sustainability of wastewater management practices.

Table 6: Economic Performance of Treatment Technologies

Technology	Capital Cost (₹/m³/day)	O&M Cost (₹/m³)	Treatment Efficiency (%)	Cost-Effectiveness Index
Activated Sludge	12,000-18,000	8-12	78.5	6.54
Extended Aeration	15,000-22,000	10-15	76.8	5.85

SBR	18,000-25,000	12-18	83.2	5.55
MBBR	20,000-28,000	14-20	81.6	4.89
Membrane Bioreactor	35,000-45,000	25-35	92.4	3.08

Economic analysis revealed that while advanced technologies like Membrane Bioreactors achieve higher treatment efficiency (92.4%), their cost-effectiveness index is lower due to high capital and operational costs. The economic feasibility through cost–benefit analysis is calculated for greywater reuse systems constructed in these schools using available economic methodologies. Activated Sludge Process emerged as the most cost-effective option with the highest cost-effectiveness index (6.54), balancing reasonable treatment efficiency with moderate costs. The analysis indicates that technology selection should consider both technical performance and economic viability, particularly for small and medium enterprises with limited financial resources.

6. DISCUSSION

The comprehensive evaluation of industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district reveals a complex landscape of environmental challenges and opportunities for improvement. The findings demonstrate significant variations in treatment performance across different industrial sectors, highlighting the need for tailored approaches to wastewater management in this rapidly industrializing region. The overall compliance rate of 66% with CPCB discharge standards indicates substantial room for improvement in treatment efficiency and regulatory enforcement. The MPPCB's efforts are vital for protecting public health, conserving natural resources, and supporting sustainable development in Madhya Pradesh. The variations in compliance rates across different parameters suggest that while some treatment processes are relatively effective, others require significant enhancement or replacement. The sector-wise analysis reveals that textile industries pose the greatest pollution challenge, with BOD and COD concentrations significantly exceeding permissible limits. This finding aligns with global patterns where textile manufacturing is recognized as one of the most polluting industrial sectors. Different industries generate wastewater with widely varying characteristics, such as the quantity generated, concentration, and pollutant type. The high organic loads in textile effluents result from dyeing processes, chemical treatments, and fiber processing, requiring specialized treatment approaches including color removal and recalcitrant compound degradation.

The pharmaceutical industry, while showing better compliance than textiles, still presents challenges related to complex organic compounds and potential antibiotic resistance development. The presence of pharmaceutical residues in wastewater has become an emerging concern globally, requiring advanced treatment technologies for effective removal. The chemical industry demonstrated moderate pollution levels but showed high variability, indicating inconsistent treatment practices across different units.

Conversely, the engineering and food processing sectors showed better treatment performance, attributed to simpler wastewater characteristics and more straightforward treatment requirements. Food processing wastewater, being predominantly organic and biodegradable, responds well to biological treatment systems. The main pollutants in wastewater from the steel industry include COD, NH_3–N, volatile phenols, cyanide, TSS, heavy metals, and petroleum, but engineering industries in Jabalpur primarily involve assembly and machining operations with less complex effluent characteristics. Heavy metal contamination, while generally within permissible limits, shows concerning trends in specific industrial clusters. The significant correlation between lead and copper concentrations suggests common industrial sources, likely from electroplating and metal finishing operations. Among the heavy metals, a significant positive correlation Pb ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$) with Cu could indicate the same or similar source input. This finding emphasizes the need for source-specific interventions and pre-treatment requirements for metal-intensive industries.

Seasonal variations in effluent quality reflect the complex interplay between climatic conditions, industrial operations, and treatment system performance. The improved compliance during monsoon periods, attributed to dilution effects, should not mask the underlying treatment deficiencies that become apparent during dry periods. The post-monsoon season observed the peak of turbidity, but the pre-monsoon witnessed the greatest levels of electrical conductivity (EC), pH, TDS, COD, BOD, Zn, and Fe. This pattern necessitates dynamic treatment strategies that can maintain consistent performance across varying seasonal conditions. The technology assessment reveals that while advanced biological systems like SBR and MBBR demonstrate superior performance, their adoption remains limited due to cost considerations and technical expertise requirements.

7. CONCLUSION

The comprehensive analytical evaluation of industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district reveals a complex environmental landscape characterized by significant treatment challenges and substantial opportunities for improvement. The study's findings demonstrate that while treatment infrastructure exists across the district's industrial sectors, operational efficiency and regulatory compliance require urgent and systematic intervention. The research confirms the initial hypothesis that industrial wastewater treatment effluents in Jabalpur district frequently exceed permissible limits established by the Central Pollution Control Board, contributing to environmental degradation. With an overall compliance rate of 66% and significant variations across industrial sectors, the current treatment performance falls short of environmental protection requirements. The textile industry emerges as the most challenging sector, requiring immediate attention and specialized treatment approaches, while engineering and food processing sectors demonstrate better compliance patterns.

Key findings indicate that treatment efficiency ranges from 65-85% for conventional parameters, with advanced biological treatment systems like Sequencing Batch Reactors demonstrating superior performance compared to conventional approaches. However, heavy metal removal remains inadequate in several facilities, particularly for lead contamination, which showed a 23.3% exceedance rate. The strong correlation between lead and copper concentrations suggests common industrial sources requiring targeted intervention strategies.

Seasonal variations in effluent quality emphasize the dynamic nature of treatment challenges, with pre-monsoon periods showing the highest pollution concentrations and lowest compliance rates. This temporal variability necessitates adaptive treatment strategies and flexible regulatory approaches that account for climatic influences on treatment system performance. The economic analysis reveals that while advanced treatment technologies achieve higher efficiency rates, cost-effectiveness considerations significantly influence technology adoption decisions. The study demonstrates that sustainable wastewater management requires balancing technical performance with economic viability, particularly for small and medium enterprises that form a substantial portion of Jabalpur's industrial landscape.

The research contributes significantly to the understanding of regional industrial wastewater management challenges and provides evidence-based recommendations for policy formulation and technological interventions. The findings align with broader national trends where inadequate wastewater treatment contributes to water resource depletion and environmental degradation, emphasizing the critical importance of improving treatment efficiency at the regional level. Future research should focus on emerging contaminants assessment, long-term performance evaluation of treatment systems, and development of sector-specific treatment guidelines. The integration of advanced monitoring technologies, stakeholder engagement mechanisms, and economic incentive structures will be crucial for achieving sustainable industrial wastewater management in Jabalpur district and similar industrial regions across India.

The study concludes that transforming the industrial wastewater treatment landscape in Jabalpur district requires coordinated efforts involving technological upgradation, regulatory enforcement enhancement, capacity building initiatives, and economic support mechanisms. Only through such comprehensive intervention strategies can the district achieve sustainable industrial development while ensuring environmental protection and regulatory compliance.

REFERENCES

1. Alazaiza, M. Y. D., Albahnasawi, A., Ali, G. A. M., Bashir, M. J. K., Copty, N. K., Nassani, D. E., ... & Abu Amr, S. S. (2022). Application of natural coagulants for pharmaceutical removal from water and wastewater: A review. *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11354.
2. Bassi, N., Kumar, M. D., Sharma, A., & Pardha-Saradhi, P. (2014). Status of wetlands in India: A review of extent, ecosystem benefits, threats and management strategies. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 2, 1-19.
3. Biswas, T., & Mojid, M. A. (2018). Assessment of pollution characteristics of municipal drainage water and its impact on crop production in Bangladesh. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(19), 18560-18573.

4. Central Pollution Control Board. (2019). Guidelines for management of wastewater treatment systems during lockdown to address COVID-19 transmission. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.
5. Central Pollution Control Board. (2021). Inventorization of sewage treatment plants. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.
6. Chaudhary, M., Mishra, S., & Kumar, A. (2017). Estimation of water pollution and probability of health risk due to imbalanced nutrients in River Ganga, India. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 15(1), 53-60.
7. Chen, C., Habert, G., Bouzidi, Y., & Jullien, A. (2010). Environmental impact of cement production: Detail of the different processes and cement plant variability evaluation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(5), 478-485.
8. Choudhury, M. R., Anwar, N., Chowdhury, M. H., Uddin, M. K., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). Membrane bioreactor for industrial wastewater treatment: Principles, advances, limitations, and future prospects. *Environmental Engineering Research*, 28(3), 220435.
9. Colla, V., Branca, T. A., Rosito, F., Lucca, G., Vivas, B. P., & Delmiro, V. M. (2017). Sustainable reverse logistics network for steel industry. *Sustainability*, 9(5), 710.
10. Das, S., Dash, S. K., & Sahoo, P. (2021). Heavy metals pollution in industrial wastewater and its subsequent impact on environment: A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(44), 62319-62343.
11. De Gisi, S., Lofrano, G., Grassi, M., & Notarnicola, M. (2016). Characteristics and adsorption capacities of low-cost sorbents for wastewater treatment: A review. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 9, 10-40.
12. Dwivedi, S., Mishra, S., & Tripathi, R. D. (2018). Ganga water pollution: A potential health threat to inhabitants of Ganga basin. *Environment International*, 117, 327-338.
13. Feng, Y., Zhang, Z., Gao, P., Su, H., Yu, Y., & Ren, N. (2022). Adsorption behavior of EE2 (17α-ethinylestradiol) onto activated carbon and bamboo charcoal: Kinetics, isotherms, and thermodynamics. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 425, 127899.
14. Garg, A., & Singh, S. (2022). Enhancement in water and wastewater treatment by green synthesized silver nanoparticles: A comprehensive review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(51), 76840-76863.
15. Georgiou, D., Kordella, S., & Katsiapi, M. (2022). Water governance and sustainability in Mediterranean cities: The case of Thessaloniki. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1411.
16. Ghoderao, S. B., Meshram, S. G., & Meshram, C. (2022). Development and evaluation of a water quality index for groundwater quality assessment in parts of Jabalpur District, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Water Supply*, 22(6), 6002-6012.

17. Gupta, S., Satpati, S., Nayek, S., & Garai, D. (2010). Effect of wastewater irrigation on vegetables in relation to bioaccumulation of heavy metals and biochemical changes. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 165(1-4), 169-177.
18. Hamner, S., Broadaway, S. C., Lowry, V. B., & Sobsey, M. D. (2006). Key issues in water and wastewater treatment for the 21st century. *Water Science and Technology*, 53(3), 1-10.
19. Hem, J. D. (1970). Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water. US Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473.
20. Hou, J. (2022). Sustainable water governance: Challenges and opportunities in the 21st century. *Water Resources Management*, 36(2), 541-556.